

1882-018 Chancery Causes: Emily Farley vs. Sherrod L. Farley
Lee Co.

Pendergrass, Garrett, Sisk

CA-Divorce

T-Vices

Migration

To the Hon John A. Kelly Judge of the Circuit
Court of Lee County Virginia

Humbly complaining your Oratrix
Essily Farley a citizen of Lee County Va would respec-
tfully show unto your Honor that on the 25th day
of May 1875 she intermarried with one Shur-
rod L. Farley by which act the said Shurrod L. Farley
became the lawful husband of your Oratrix and
as such he and your Oratrix lived and associated
together as man and wife until the month of
February 1878 when without any cause known to
your Oratrix, the said Shurrod L. Farley deserted
his home and abandoned your Oratrix, and soon
thereafter went to the state of Kentucky and has
there continued to reside ever since as your Oratrix
is informed and believes. Your Oratrix is informed
and believes, and therefore charges, that soon after
the said Shurrod L. Farley deserted his house and
home and abandoned your Oratrix and went to the
state of Kentucky he there pretended to intermarry
with a woman by the name of Pendergrop with
whom he has continued to live as man & wife ever
since, and is now so living, and by this his pretended
and illegal wife has had a child born to him.
These being the facts, Your Oratrix charges that ever
since the said Shurrod L. Farley pretended to enter
into said second marriage relation with said pretended
second wife, his whole association and co-habitation

with her has been one long continuing act of Adultery Your Oratrix further States that she has not lived with or cohabited with the said Sherrod L. Farley since she became aware of his infidelity and his adulterous acts. And she further alleges that five years has not elapsed since such acts ^{have} been committed by him. And Your Oratrix further Says that the said Adulterous acts were not committed by the procurement or connivance of Your Oratrix but against her earnest wish and protest.

Your Oratrix further charges that the said Sherrod L. Farley has been guilty of a most flagrant violation of his marital vows, and has so acted as to forfeit all right and claim to be any longer treated and considered as a husband, and to obtain a dissolution of the bonds of Matrimony now existing between him and your Oratrix is the object of this suit, And to that end your Oratrix prays that your Honor will take cognizance of her cause by making the said Sherrod L. Farley a defendant to this bill, and that he be required to answer the same fully on oath. That an order of publication be made posted and published against him, and that upon a final hearing of the cause a decree be rendered in the cause totally dissolving the bond of Matrimony now existing between him and your Oratrix May the Commonwealth writ of ~~the~~ issue directed &c.

By ars & Morgan for Plff

Emily Farley A.M.

vs. Bill in Chy

Sherrill L. Farley

C 5.05-
A 15.00-
D 5.00-
\$25.05-

1882, July 3rd Bill filed
& Order Publication

" Aug Or. Pub. compld to D. N.

" " D. N. confd + cause
set for hearing by Dff.

1882. August Term
Decree final

Emily Farley Peff }
vs. } In law
Shirrod L. Farley Deft. }

This cause came on this day to be heard on the bill of the Peff, and the deposition of ^a witness and was argued by counsel. And it appearing to the court from the evidence on file in the cause that the Peff is entitled to the relief sought by her bill. It is therefore adjudged ordered and decreed, that the bond of matrimony now existing between the Peff and Deft. be and the same is hereby dissolved. And the Peff is allowed again to marry but that privilege is denied the Deft. and the cause is ordered to be stricken from the docket.

Emily Farley

as. { Deane

Shurad L. Farley

Entered Page 269.

J. A. Hyatt

clerk

Entered

J. A. K.

Sept 4/82

Emily Farley P^{lff}
 vs.
 Shurod L. Farley D^{ft} } In Chancery

The deposition of Frances Garrett taken at the office of H. J. Morgan on the 19th day of August 1882 which is intended to be read as evidence on the part of the p^{lff} in the above styled cause now pending in the circuit court of Lee County Va.

The said Garrett being duly sworn Says I know the fact, that for some two or three years after the P^{lff} and d^{ft} were married to each other, they lived together as man and wife, and so continued until about the winter or spring of 1878 as well as I now remember ^{when} the defendant Shurod L. Farley deserted the p^{lff}, and went to the state of Kentucky where he has continued to reside ever since. On one occasion after he left as above stated he came back to this county, but remained but a short while and during the time he so remained he and the p^{lff} did not live or associate together as man & wife.

In October 1881 and in March 1882 I was in Onslow County Ky. and saw the defendant Shurod L. Farley where he resides, He in that county is reported to be married, and to have married a woman by the name of Perdergroff, with whom he now lives as man and wife and by whom he has had one child.

And further verily saith not

Frances ^{his} Garrett
 mark

Virginia Sea Bounty tax.

The foregoing deposition of Francis Garratt was
taken before me, sworn to and subscribed by him at
the place, on the day, and for the purpose mentioned in
the caption

August the 19th 1882

Henry J. Morgan Commissioner
Chy Circuit Court Lee Co.

Emily Farley

vs. { Defs

Sherwood L. Farley

Filed August 23rd 1882

J. A. Garratt
Clerk

State of Virginia

Lee County to wit.

I J. A. Hyatt Clerk
of the Circuit Court for said County, do
hereby certify that Timothy Sisk person-
ally appeared before me in my office
and made oath that Sherd L. Farley
is a now resident of this State,
according to his information and
belief, Given under my hand this
the 3^d day of July 1882.

J. A. Hyatt Clerk

~~Sherd L. Farley~~
Emily Farley
vs affidavit

Sherd L. Farley

Virginia

At Rules held in the Clerk's Office
of the Circuit Court of Lee County July 3rd 1882.
Emily Harley Plff }
vs } In Chancery
Sherd L. Harley Deft }

The object of this suit, is to obtain
a divorce from the bonds of matrimony now
existing between the plaintiff and the defendant,
and it appearing from an affidavit
filed in this cause that the defendant
is a non-resident of this State. It is
ordered that he appear here within
one month after due publication
of this order, to do what is necessary
to protect his interest in this suit,

A Copy Lete:-

J. A. Hyatt clerk

I certify that I delivered a true copy of the
above order to the "Lee Co. Sentinel" on the 4th
July 1882, and posted a like copy thereof
at the front door of the court house at the
July Term of the County Court for Lee
County,

J. A. Hyatt clerk

Emily Farley
vs Grid Pub
Sherd L. Farley

VIRGINIA—At Rules held in the Clerk's office of the Circuit Court of Lee County, on the 3d day of July, 1882.

Emily Farley, Plf., vs. Sherd L. Farley, Deft.—In Chancery.

The object of this suit is to obtain a Divorce from the bonds of matrimony now existing between the plaintiff and, the defendant, and it appearing from an affidavit filed in this cause that the defendant is a non-resident of this State: It is ordered that he appear here within one month after due publication of this order, to do what is necessary to protect his interest in this suit.

A copy teste:

Jul 7-4

J. A. G. HYATT, Clerk.

to the facilities of the new mammoth establishment
large buildings and new machinery, en-
dure a busy season, and at FIVE HUNDRED
every- The unequalled facilities of this
cost than the work of small maker
purchasing largely of the

THE SENTINEL

DEMOCRATIC—SUBSCRIPTION, ONE DOLLAR AND FIFTY CENTS A YEAR.

FRED. R. STICKLEY, EDITOR AND PROPRIETOR

Jonesville, Va., Aug. 27th, 1882.

I hereby certify that a Chan-
cery order of which the annexed
is a copy was printed four suc-
cessive weeks in the "Lee County
Sentinel" a weekly newspaper
published in the town of Jonesville
Va. - ^{Said publication ending Aug 7th 1882}
~~Publication ending August 7~~
Hc F. R. Stickley, Pubr

Printers fee \$5.00

Emily Farley
Vol 3 Pub. Certificate
Sheld L. Farley